ORIGINAL EV 6/82

MOTION UNDER 28 USC § 2255 TO VACATE, SET ASIDE, OR CORRECT 0 5 - 2 6 7 SENTENCE BY A PERSON IN FEDERAL CUSTODY

United States Bistrict Court District Of Delaware		
Name of Movant JOSEPH SCOTT	Prisoner No. 04194-015 Docket No. 99-CR-33-01 (RRM)	
Place of Continement F. C. I. Frairton, P. O. Poy 420, Frairton, Nov. I.	00220	
F.C.I. Fairton, P.O. Box 420, Fairton, New Jo	(include name upon which convicted)	
UNITED STATES OF AMERICA	/. Joseph Scott	
	(full name of movant)	
МО	TION	
1. Name and location of court which entered the judgment of	f conviction under attack U.S. District Court	
for the District of Delaware		
2. Date of judgment of conviction January 31, 2000)	
3. Length of sentence 30 Years imprisonment, and	d 3 years supervised release.	
4. Nature of offense involved (all counts) Conspiracy t		
in violation of 21 U.S.C. § 841(b)(1)(A		
with intent to distribute cocaine base,	in violation of 21 U.S.C. §841(b)(1)(A).	
 5. What was your plea? (Check one) (a) Not guilty		
If you entered a guilty plea to one count or indictment, and N/A	a not guilty plea to another count or indictment, give details:	
 6. Kind of trial: (Check one) (a) Jury (b) Judge only 7. Did you testify at the trial? Yes □ No □ 8. Did you appeal from the judgment of conviction? Yes □ No □ 	MAY - 4 2005 U.S. DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF DELAWARE	

9.	If you did appeal, answer the following:				
	(a) Name of court UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE THIRD CIRCUIT				
	(b) Result CONVICTIONS AFFIRMED, REMANDED FOR RESENTENCING ON SUPERVISED RELEASE TERM				
	(c) Date of result May 7, 2001				
10.	Other than a direct appeal from the judgment of conviction and sentence, have you previously filed any petitions, applications or motions with respect to this judgment in any federal court? Yes No				
11.	If your answer to 10 was "yes," give the following information:				
(a)(1) Name of court United States District Court For The District Of Delaware					
	(2) Nature of proceeding Motion Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2255				
	(3) Grounds raised Counsel was ineffective in 5 different aspects. inter alia.				
	failing to: (1) file petition for writ of certiorari; (2) object that				
	the jury instruction constituted a constructive amendment of the indictment;				
	and (3) to argue that the Apprendi error in his case cannot be deemed a				
	harmless error.				
	(4) Did you receive an evidentiary hearing on your petition, application or motion? Yes X No II Motion Granted with respect to claim that counsel was ineffective (5) Result for file a petition for writ of certiorari.				
	(6) Date of result June 23, 2003				
	(b) As to any second petition, application or motion give the same information:				
	(1) Name of court United States Court of Appeals for the Third Circuit				
	(2) Nature of proceedingMotion to recall mandate, under Rule 41				
	(3) Grounds raised Due to counsel's ineffectiveness, the Court was required to				
	recall and reissued its mandate so that Petitioner could file a timely				
	petition to the United States Supreme Court for writ of certionari.				

(4) Did you receive an ev Yes □ No 🕱	videntiary hearing on your petition, application or motion?
(5) Result Motion (Granted
(6) Date of resultJa	nuary 13, 2004
(c) As to any third petition, a	pplication or motion, give the same information:
(1) Name of court	ted States Supreme Court
(2) Nature of proceeding	Petition for writ of certiorari
	itioner's sentence violated his fifth amendment right to the maximum penalty he was subject to, and his sixth
	at to effective assistance of counsel. And that it was
plain error fo	or the District Court to impose sentences beyond the
maximum senten	ace permitted for each of the two counts of conviction.
(4) Did you receive an ev Yes □ No ☑* (5) ResultPeti	identiary hearing on your petition, application or motion?
	lay 3, 2004
d) Did you appeal, to an appeal or motion?	late federal court having jurisdiction, the result of action taken on any petition, application
	Yes 🗆 No 🗴
(2) Second petition, etc.	Yes 🗆 No 🕱
(3) Third petition, etc.	
 e) If you did not appeal from th 	ne adverse action on any petition, application or motion, explain briafly why you did not:
N/A	
State concisely every ground on	which you claim that you are being held unlawfully. Summarize briefly thefacts supporting
	may attach pages stating additional grounds and facts supporting same

12. each ground. If necessary, you may attach pages stating additional grounds and facts supporting same.

CAUTION: If you fail to set forth all grounds in this motion, you may be barred from presenting additional grounds at a later date.

For your information, the following is a list of the most frequently raised grounds for relief in these proceedings. Each statement preceded by a letter constitutes a separate ground for possible relief. You may raise any grounds which you have other than those listed. However, you should raise in this motion all available grounds (relating to this conviction) on which you based your allegations that you are being held in custody unlawfully.

Do not check any of these listed grounds. If you select one or more of these grounds for relief, you must allege facts. The motion will be returned to you if you merely check (a) through (j) or any one of the grounds.

- (a) Conviction obtained by plea of guilty which was unlawfully induced or not made voluntarily or with understanding of the nature of the charge and the consequences of the plea.
- (b) Conviction obtained by use of coerced confession.

- (c) Conviction obtained by use of evidence gained pursuant to an unconstitutional search and seizure.
- (d) Conviction obtained by use of evidence obtained pursuant to an unlawful arrest.
- (e) Conviction obtained by a violation of the privilege against self-incrimination.
- (f) Conviction obtained by the unconstitutional failure of the prosecution to disclose to the defendant evidence favorable to the defendant.
- (g) Conviction obtained by a violation of the protection against double jeopardy.
- (h) Conviction obtained by action of a grand or petit jury which was unconstitutionally selected and impanelled.
- (i) Denial of effective assistance of counsel.
- (i) Denial of right of appeal.

argue that	the Rule announce in Apprendi applies to the Federal Sentencing Guidelines
	Supporting FACTS (tell your story briefly without citing cases or law:
	See Attached Memorandum of Law
В.	Ground two: N/A
	Supporting FACTS (tell your story briefly without citing cases or law): N/A
	N/A
C.	Ground three: N/A
	Supporting FACTS (tell your story briefly without citing cases or law):
	N/A

D.	Ground four:N/A
	Supporting FACTS (tell your story briefly without citing cases or law):
	N/A
3. If any of the	grounds listed in 12A, B, C, and D were not previously presented, state briefly what grounds were not s
presented, an	d give your reasons for not presenting them: Ground A, Petitioner is a layman of
presented, an	was unaware that counsel was ineffective for failing to argue that
presented, and a	d give your reasons for not presenting them: Ground A, Petitioner is a layman of
law and w	d give your reasons for not presenting them: Ground A, Petitioner is a layman of
law and w	was unaware that counsel was ineffective for failing to argue that ng announced in Apprendi v. New Jersey applies to the Federal
law and we the ruling Sentencing	any petition or appeal now pending in any court as to the judgment under attack?
I4. Do you have	and give your reasons for not presenting them: Ground A, Petitioner is a layman of was unaware that counsel was ineffective for failing to argue that any announced in Apprendi v. New Jersey applies to the Federal any Guidelines. The summation of appeal now pending in any court as to the judgment under attack?
Ithe ruling Sentencing	any petition or appeal now pending in any court as to the judgment under attack?
Ithe ruling Sentencing	d give your reasons for not presenting them: Ground A, Petitioner is a layman of was unaware that counsel was ineffective for failing to argue that any announced in Apprendi v. New Jersey applies to the Federal any Guidelines. e any petition or appeal now pending in any court as to the judgment under attack? e and address, if known, of each attorney who represented you in the following stages of the judgment attacke minary hearingMr. James J. Haley, Esq., 1716 Wawaset Street, P.O. 199. Wilmington, Delaware 19899
Is. Give the name herein: (a) At prelimage.	d give your reasons for not presenting them: Ground A, Petitioner is a layman of was unaware that counsel was ineffective for failing to argue that any announced in Apprendi v. New Jersey applies to the Federal any Guidelines. E any petition or appeal now pending in any court as to the judgment under attack? We and address, if known, of each attorney who represented you in the following stages of the judgment attacked minary hearing Mr. James J. Haley, Esq., 1716 Wawaset Street, P.O. 199, Wilmington, Delaware 19899
Is presented, and the ruling Sentencing Sent	d give your reasons for not presenting them: Ground A, Petitioner is a layman of was unaware that counsel was ineffective for failing to argue that any announced in Apprendi v. New Jersey applies to the Federal any Guidelines. E any petition or appeal now pending in any court as to the judgment under attack? We and address, if known, of each attorney who represented you in the following stages of the judgment attackes minary hearing Mr. James J. Haley, Esq., 1716 Wawaset Street, P.O. 199, Wilmington, Delaware 19899 Same As Above
Is. Do you have Yes No No No No No No No N	d give your reasons for not presenting them: Ground A, Petitioner is a layman of was unaware that counsel was ineffective for failing to argue that any announced in Apprendi v. New Jersey applies to the Federal and Guidelines. Evany petition or appeal now pending in any court as to the judgment under attack? We and address, if known, of each attorney who represented you in the following stages of the judgment attacked minary hearing Mr. James J. Haley, Esq., 1716 Wawaset Street, P.O. 199, Wilmington, Delaware 19899 Same As Above Same As Above
Is. Do you have Yes No Do You have Yes	d give your reasons for not presenting them: Ground A, Petitioner is a layman of was unaware that counsel was ineffective for failing to argue that ag announced in Apprendi v. New Jersey applies to the Federal ag Guidelines. E uny petition or appeal now pending in any court as to the judgment under attack? We and address, if known, of each attorney who represented you in the following stages of the judgment attacke minary hearing Mr. James J. Haley, Esq., 1716 Wawaset Street, P.O. 199, Wilmington, Delaware 19899 gnment and plea Same As Above

(e) On appeal Same As Above
(f) In any post-conviction proceeding Mr. Mark S. Greenberg, Esq., 1515 Locust Street, Suite 900, Philadelphia, Pa. 19102
(g) On appeal from any adverse ruling in a post-conviction proceeding Motion To Recall Mandate.
Mr. Mark S. Greenberg, Esq.
16. Were you sentenced on more than one count of an indictment, or on more than one indictment, in the same court and a approximately the same time? Yes ☒ No□
17. Do you have any future sentence to serve after you complete the sentence imposed by the judgment under attack? Yes □ No ☒
(a) If so, give name and location of court which imposed sentence to be served in the future:
(b) Give date and length of the above sentence: N/A
(c) Have you filed, or do you contemplate filing, any petition attacking the judgment which imposed the santance to be served in the future? Yes No
Wherefore, movant prays that the Court grant him all relief to which he may be entitled in this proceeding.
Signature of Attorney (if any)
I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct. Executed on 5-0(-05) (date)
Signature of Movant

Case 1:99-or 00033-JJF Document 289 Filed 05/04/2005 Page 13 of 14

JOSEPH SCOTT

P.O BOX. 420

Tainton. NJ 08320

MAY F. CODS

2 MAY

SUITH JEK: 116

office of the united state
844. N. KING
Wilmington